Je S





Direct Dial: 757.249.5100

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This facsimile transmission is intended to be delivered only to the named addressee, and may contain material that is confidential, proprietary or subject to legal protection or privilege. If it is received by anyone other than the named addressee, the recipient should immediately notify the sender at the address and telephone number set forth herein and obtain instructions as to the disposal of the transmitted material. In no event should such material be read or retained by anyone other than the named addressee, except by express authority of the sender or the named addressee.

TO:

Patent and Trademark Office

FROM:

Kimberly A. Chasteen

DATE:

Thursday, June 12, 2003

FAX RECEIVED

FAX #:

703.305.3597

Pages, including coversheet:

JUN 1 3 2003

GROUP 3600

CLIENT #:

3

MESSAGE:

OFFICIAL

Atty docket no.: 029079.0001

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

James D. Mitchell, Jr.

Serial No.: 09/825,176

: Examiner: Petravick, Meredith C.

Filed: April 3, 2001

: Art Unit: 3671

For: TRIMMER ATTACHMENT FOR LAWN MOWERS AND TRACTORS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on June 12, 2003.

Date: June 12, 2003

Kristin Engstran

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Request mailed May 15, 2003, a summary of the interview on telephonic interview held on 5/14/2003 follows. Applicant's counsel, Kimberly A. Chasteen held telephonic interviews with Meredith C. Petravick and Tom Will. No exhibit was shown or demonstration conducted. The prior art discussed were patents issued to Lane and Skovhoj with respect to claim 1. The proposed amendments are of record in the response filed on May 14, 2003. Principal arguments presented in the interviews are (1) that Lane does not show or suggest lateral movement in the horizontal direction of the trimming attachment described therein and (2) that Skovhoj does not describe or suggest the use of a frame member which is removably connectable or a means for automatically adjusting the vertical height of the motorized trimming

means. Further detail is of record in the response filed on May 14, 2003. The final outcome of the interviews was that the Applicant was advised to submit the response along with a Notice of Appeal to allow for further review of the Applicant's arguments in the hopes that agreement can be reached concerning the claim language.

Respectfully submitted,

Limberly A. Chasteen Reg. No. 36,755

June 12, 2003

(757) 249-5100

Williams Mullen 1 Old Oyster Point Road, Suite 210 Newport News, VA 23692

#399913 vl - resp to req for interview summery - LAWN MOWEL ATTACHMENT